

Worksheet #2 Assessing the Risk of Groundwater Contamination from

Pesticide Storage and Handling

Why Should I be Concerned?

Pesticides play an important role in agriculture. They have increased farm production, and they have enabled farmers to manage more acres with less labor. If pesticides are not handled carefully around the farmstead, they can seep through the ground after a leak or spill, or they can enter a well directly during mixing and loading. Taking voluntary action to prevent pesticide contamination of groundwater will help assure their continued availability for responsible use by farmers.

Pesticides work by interfering with the life processes of plants and insects. Pesticides are also toxic to people. If pesticides enter a water supply in large quantities -- as can happen with spills or backsiphonage accidents -- acute health effects (toxic effects apparent after only a short period of exposure) can range from moderate to severe, depending on the toxicity of the pesticide and the amount of exposure. Contaminated groundwater used for drinking water supplies may result in chronic exposure (prolonged or repeated exposure to low doses of toxic substances), which may be hazardous to people and livestock.

When found in water supplies, pesticides normally are not present in high-enough concentrations to cause acute health effects, which can include chemical burns, nausea and convulsions. Instead, they typically occur in trace levels, and the concern is primarily for their potential for causing chronic health problems from prolonged exposure.

Your drinking water is least likely to be contaminated if you follow appropriate management procedures or dispose of wastes in any location that is off the farm site. However, proper offsite disposal practices are essential to avoid risking contamination that could affect the water supplies and health of others.

The goal of Farm A Syst is to help you protect the groundwater that supplies your drinking water.

How will this worksheet help me protect my drinking water?

- ·It will take you step by step through your pesticide handling, storage and disposal practices.
- ·It will rank your activities according to how they might affect the groundwater that provides your drinking water supplies.
- ·It will provide you with easy-to-understand rankings that will help you analyze the risk level of your pesticide handling, storage and disposal practices.
- It will help you determine which of your practices are reasonably safe and effective, and which practices might require modification to better protect your drinking water.

Glossary Pesticide Storage and Handling

These terms may help you make more accurate assessments when completing Worksheet #2. They may also help clarify some of the terms used in Fact Sheet #2.

Air gap: An air space (open space) between the hose or faucet and water level, representing one way to prevent backflow of liquids into a well or water supply.

Anti-backflow: (anti-backsiphoning) device: A check valve or air gap to prevent the unwanted reverse flow of liquids back down a water supply pipe into a well, required under Accepted Agricultural Practice regulations.

Backflow: The unwanted reverse flow of liquids in a piping system.

Backflow prevention device: (See anti-backflow device.)

Backsiphonage: Backflow caused by formation of a vacuum in a water supply pipe.

Closed handling system: A system for transferring pesticides or fertilizers directly from storage container to applicator equipment (through a hose, for example), so that humans and the environment are never inadvertently exposed to the chemicals.

Cross-connection: A link or channel between pipes, wells, fixtures or tanks carrying contaminated water and those carrying potable (safe for drinking) water. Contaminated water, if at higher pressure, enters the potable water system.

Leachability: The ability for soluble materials to wash out and be removed from the soil.

Leaching Potential: The potential rating for soils based on surface runoff and soil leaching. Values are assigned by the Natural Resources Conservation Service by soil type.

Micrograms per liter: The weight of a substance measured in micrograms contained in one liter. It is equivalent to 1 part per billion in water measure.

Milligrams per liter (mg/l): The weight of a substance measured in milligrams contained in one liter. It is equivalent to 1 part per million in water measure.

Parts per billion (ppb): A measurement of concentration of one unit of material dispersed in one billion units of another.

Parts per million (ppm): A measurement of concentration of one unit of material dispersed in one million units of another.

Rinsate: Rinse water from pesticide or fertilizer tank cleaning.

Secondary containment: Impermeable floor and walls around a chemical storage area that minimize the amount of chemical seeping into the ground from a spill or leak.



Worksheet #2 Assessing the Risk of Groundwater Contamination from Pesticide Storage and Handling

Landowner/Producer's Name:	Farm, Tract and Field No
Farm Name:	Evaluator's Name:
Site Location:	
Site Diagram	

Notes:

Farm*A*Syst	t				Page 4 Your Rank
_	torage and H	andling Rank 3	Rank 2	Rank 1	Field Number
Resource Concern	Nank 4	Marik 5	IVAIIN Z	IVAIIK I	

PESTICIDE STORAGE					
Amount stored	No pesticides stored at any time.	Less than 1 gallon or less than 10 pounds of each pesticide.	More than 1 gallon or more than 10 pounds of each pesticide.	More than 55 gallons or more than 550 pounds of each pesticide.	
Leachability ¹	No chemical stored.	Chemicals classified as having low leaching potential.	Chemicals classified as having medium leaching potential.	Chemicals classified as having high leaching potential.	
Liquid or dry formulation	No liquids. All dry.	Some liquids. Mostly dry.	Mostly liquids. Some dry.	All liquids.	
Spill or leak control in storage area	Impermeable surface (such as concrete) does not allow spills to soak into soil. Curb installed on floor to contain leaks and spills.	Impermeable surface with curb installed has some cracks, allowing spills to get to soil. OR impermeable surface without cracks has no curb installed.	Permeable surface (wooden floor) has cracks. Impermeable surface with cracks has no curb. Spills could contaminate wood.	Permeable surface (gravel or dirt floor). Spills could contaminate floor.	
Containers	Original containers clearly labeled. No holes, tears or weak seams. Lids tight.	Original containers old. Labels partially missing or hard to read.	Containers old but not leaking. Metal containers showing signs of rusting.	Containers have holes or tears that allow pesticides to leak. No labels.	
Security	Fenced or locked area separate from all other activities. Signs at storage area.	Fenced area separate from most other activities.	Open to activities that could damage containers or spill chemicals.	Open access to theft, vandalism and children. No signs.	
MIXING AND LOADING PRACTICES					
Location of well in relation to mixing/loading area	200 or more feet downslope from well.	200 to 100 feet downslope from well.	100 to 50 feet downslope from well, or 100-500 feet upslope.	Within 50 feet downslope or within 100 feet upslope from well.	

¹Use Pesticide Leachability Table to determine the risk factor.

Farm*A*Syst					Your Rank
Pesticide Stor	age and Han	dling			
Resource Concern	Rank 4	Rank 3	Rank 2	Rank 1	Field Number
Mixing and loading pad (spill containment)	Concrete pad with curb keeps spills contained. Sump allows collection and transfer to storage.	Concrete pad with curb keeps spills contained. No sump. Mixing in clay fields.	Concrete pad with some cracks keeps some spills contained. No curb or sump. Mixing in silt field.	No mixing/loading pad. Mixing on permeable soil (sand). Spills soak into ground.	
Water source	Separate water tank.	Water supply away from well.	Water supply near well.	Obtained directly from well, river or pond.	
Backflow prevention on water supply	Anti-backflow device installed or 6-inch air gap maintained above sprayer tank.	Anti-backflow device installed. Hose in tank above waterline.	No anti-backflow device. Hose in tank above waterline.	No anti-backflow device. Hose in tank below waterline.	
Filling supervision	Constant		Frequent	Seldom or never	
Handling system	Closed system for all liquid product transfers.	Closed system for most liquids. Some liquids hand poured. Sprayer fill port easy to reach.	All liquids and dry product hand poured. Sprayer fill port easy to reach.	All liquids and dry product hand poured. Sprayer fill port hard to reach.	
Sprayer cleaning and rinsate (rinse water) disposal	Sprayer washed out in field. Rinsate used in next load and applied to labeled crop.	Sprayer washed out on pad at farmstead. Rinsate used in next load and applied to labeled crop.	Sprayer washed out at farmstead. Rinsate sprayed less than 100 feet from well.	Sprayer washed out at farmstead. Rinsate dumped at farmstead or in nearby field.	
CONTAINER DISPOSAL					
Disposal location	Triple-rinsed containers returned to dealers or taken to licensed landfill or municipal incinerator. Bags returned to supplier or hazardous waste collection service used.	Unrinsed containers and empty bags taken to licensed landfill, municipal incinerator or dump.	Disposal of unrinsed containers or empty bags on farm. Disposal of triplerinsed containers on farm. Disposal of container in a manner inconsistent with label.	Disposal of partially filled plastic or paper containers on farm. Disposal of container in a manner inconsistent with the label.	

Farm*A*Syst	t				Page 6 Your Rank
Pesticide S	torage and H	landling			
Resource	Rank 4	Rank 3	Rank 2	Rank 1	Field Number
Concern					

INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT					
IPM knowledge and skills	Farmer and consultant (if hired) understand key pest life cycle factors and exploit "weak links" for effective management. Pest ID and scouting info. Always used to manage pests & beneficial organisms.	Farmer knows key pest species of crops, has been trained in pest ID, OR employs certified consultant. Scouting information often used to manage pests. Information on beneficials is not used.	Farmer knows key pest species of crops and has been trained in pest ID, but does not routinely use scouting information to manage pests.	Farmer has not been trained to ID pests OR does not seek advice from professional consultant when managing pests.	
Crop rotation	Rotation with more years of small grains (oats, wheat, etc.) and/or hay/legumes than row crops. Additional crop diversity is used, including stripcropping, and cover crops.	Three-crop rotation used including a hay/legume and/or small grain. OR Rotation of row crop with hay/legume or small grain every other year.	Rotation of row crops with a hay/legume or small grain at least one out of every three years.	Continuous intense row crop(s) with no rotation.	
PESTICIDE APPLICATION					
Treatment threshold	Pesticide applications are made only when pests reach a predetermined treatment threshold. "Weak link" of pest's life cycle is targeted for pesticide applications.	Pesticide application is based on pest population levels determined by scouting, but treatment threshold is not used.	Pesticide application is made at first sign of pests.	Pesticide application is based only on calendar date or stage of crop development.	
Spill response plan	Spill response plan is written, kept current, and reviewed by family and employees. Phone numbers next to phone	Spill response plan is written and routinely reviewed by family and employees. Phone numbers next to phone	Spill response plan is developed but not routinely reviewed by family and employees.	Farm has no written response plan.	

Bold print indicates a serious concern for drinking water quality and may indicate conditions that violate state or federal laws and regulations.

Page 7 Farm*A*Syst Your Rank **Pesticide Storage and Handling** Rank 4 Rank 3 Rank 2 Rank 1 Field Number Resource Concern Crop residues and Crop residues and Residue management Crop residues and Crop residue and cover cover crops are always cover crops are cover crops are crops are not used & cover crops used to minimize frequently used to sometimes used in anywhere on the farm. minimize pesticide pesticide leaching. fields highly runoff, and erosion by leaching, runoff, and susceptible to leaching, wind. erosion by wind. wind erosion, or runoff of pesticides. Pesticide training People who mix, load, People who mix, load, People seek People who mix, and apply all pesticides and apply all pesticides certification only to mix, load, and apply are certified through a are certified, but do not load, and apply restricted-use state regulatory stav current on new restricted-use pesticides are not agency, and keep pest control strategies pesticides. certified. between certifications. current on pest control strategies between certifications. Farmer uses pesticide Farmer uses sprayer Farmer applies Pesticides are applied Application rate below label rates in technologies and pesticide at label rates over the label rate. and/or not according to conjunction with methods to reduce based on needs cultural practices (e.g. amount applied. determined by label. Sprayer is not calibrated. Records not banding with cultivation Spreader is calibrated. scouting. Spreader is or ridge tillage). Records are kept of calibrated. Incomplete kept of materials Spreader is calibrated. materials applied. records kept of applied. Records are kept of materials applied. materials applied. . **Weather Conditions (wind** Weather forecasts are Weather forecasts are Spraving is done on Weather forecasts are windy days OR postspeed and rain forecast) used to plan pesticide considered when not considered when applications. No emergence pesticides planning to spray. planning to spray. spraying is done when are applied when rain wind would move it off Pesticide application is imminent. Spraying in weather target. Applications are made during rainconditions contrary are made during labelfree periods and at low to the label. required rain-free wind speeds. periods.

What do I do with these rankings?

Step 1: Begin by determining your overall well management risk ranking. Total the rankings for the categories you completed and divide by the number of categories you ranked:

divi	ded bye
Rankings total	number of risk ra
from previous	categories ranke
page	(11 if ranked all)

*Carry your answer out to one decimal place.

Example: 26 ÷11 = 2.36 Use 2.4.

Risk Ranking Description

This ranking gives you an idea of how your well condition, as a whole, might be affecting your drinking water. This ranking should serve only as a very general guide, not a precise diagnosis. Because it represents an averaging of many individual rankings, it can overlook any individual rankings (such as 1's or 2's) that should be of concern. (Step 2 will focus on individually ranked activities of concern.)

Enter your boxed well condition ranking in the appropriate place in the table on the front of Worksheet #12. Later you will compare this risk ranking with other farmstead management rankings. Worksheet #11 will help you determine your farmstead's site conditions (soil type, soil depth, and bedrock characteristics), and worksheet #12 will show you how these site conditions affect your risk rankings.

Step 2: Look over your rankings for individual activities.

- 4's Best: low-risk practices
- 3's Provide reasonable groundwater protection: low- to moderate-risk practices
- 2's Possibly inadequate protection: moderate- to high-risk practices
- 1's Inadequate protection with relatively high groundwater contamination risk: high-risk practices

Regardless of your overall risk of ranking, any rankings of "1" require immediate attention. You can take care of some of the concerns right away; others could be major or costly projects, requiring planning and prioritizing before you take action.

Find any activities that you identified as 1's and list them under "High-Risk Activities" on Worksheet #12.

Step 3: Read Fact Sheet #2, "Improving Pesticide Handling and Storage," and give some thought to how you might modify your farmstead practices to better protect your drinking water.

Vermont Farm*A*Syst publications are revisions from the Wisconsin and Minnesota prototype versions, with support from the National Farmstead Assessment System Program.

Technical reviewers: Lynn Blouin, Franklin County NRCD; Sid Bosworth, UVM Extension; Jim Leland, VT. Dept. of Agriculture, Food & Markets; Ellen Sivret, USDA NRCS; Heather Trillium, Winooski NRCD; Barbara Ann Trowbridge, USDA FSA.

Coordinator: Heather Trillium, Winooski NRCD.

Steering Committee: Craig Altemose, University of Vermont Extension; Jon Anderson, VT. Natural Resources Conservation Council; Lynn Blouin, Franklin County Natural Resources Conservation District; Sid Bosworth, University of Vermont Extension; Gail Center, VT. Department of Health; Jeff Comstock, VT. Dept. of Agriculture, Food & Markets; Rob Farley, VT. Department of Environmental Conservation; Don Hipes, Winooski Natural Resources Conservation District; Elizabeth Hunt, VT. Department of Environmental Conservation; Dan Koloski, USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service; John Miller, VT. Department of Environmental Conservation; George Mills, VT. Department of Health; Pauline Pare, USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service; Ellen Sivret, USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service; Bill Snow, University of Vermont Extension; Heather Trillium, Winooski Natural Resources Conservation District; Barbara Ann Trowbridge, USDA Farm Service Agency; Art Webb, Franklin County Natural Resources Conservation District.

Word processing: Marcellus Cubit and Heather Trillium.

The Vermont Natural Resources Conservation Districts, Vermont Department of Agriculture, Food & Markets, University of Vermont Extension, Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation, Vermont Department of Health, USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, USDA Farm Service Agency, USDA Cooperative Extension Service and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency jointly support Vermont Farm*A*Syst.

Revised 9/97

This project has been funded wholly or in part by the United States Environmental Protection Agency through the Nonpoint Source Management Program Section 319 grant to the Vermont Natural Resources Conservation Council. The contents of this document do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the Environmental Protection Agency, nor does mention of trade names or commercial products constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

Farm*A*Syst program discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability, political beliefs and marital or familial status is prohibited. Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (a reader) should contact the Natural Resource Conservation Districts at (802) 828-4192.